G. R. S. 581

*Previous references.*

63 - 17

*Later references.*

1913.
(Onwards)

File No. 2602-1

Serial Nos.
1-7

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

*Serial Nos.* 1-7

**Part B for** August 1915

---

SUBJECT.

Appeal petn of Gundappa alias Shamanna claiming Rugveda Mantrapushpa post of Sri Chamarajeswami temple at Chamarajanagar

---

To, The Deputy Commr
Mysore Dt

488 Dy 12.8.15

Passes orders on the ——

GPB—10,000—23-3-15

C Kuttuvamma Iyer

G.R.S. 11] 1912-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT. - 12-13

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No 262-13

FILE HEADING.

Rigveda Nataraja pooja post of Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy temple at Chamarajnagar

From

Gundappa alias Shamanna thro his father Dasappa, Mysore.

~~No.~~ Petitions Dated 8.6.12 & 25.7.12 Received (addressed to the Dewan),

| Diary No. 770 & 1557 | Enclos. - | Spare Copies. - | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Mysore Dy Commr's order directing that it is not possible to alter the orders relating to the post in the revised Jadik Path of the Temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petitioner is sent to the Mysore office to know if the temple pooja mirasdar has any claims & if so, in the nature thereof be has to submit

Submitted with a Dft. forwarding the petitions for report, to the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist.

WC(115) 11.12.13

Approved 12/12

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15.6.12

(2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 102 of 1913.

Serial No.

2. To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist
No. 1631 Dy 16.12.13
Forwards Sl 1 for report with records

3,4 From, The Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist,
Letters nos 359, 3 Dy 15.4.14 & 6.7.14
Submits the report called for in Sl. 2.

The Dy Commrs. report clearly explains the facts of the case.

Rig Veda Mantrapushpa post of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajnagar carries with it a monthly pay of Rs 6/-

Nanjunda Bhatta, grand-father of the apltt, who was holding this appointment died on 8.6.1896, leaving a widow by name Puttamma.

On the revised Taddik-Patti of this temple, against the post in question, the following remark has been made:—

Rama bhatta is appointed permanently. Out of the pay, a sum of Rs 2 must be paid monthly to Nanjunda Bhatta's widow Puttamma during her life-time

Dy ji
The revised Taddik Patti is not up for ref. in another connection. It is here. It may be called for.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—03,000—15 9-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 1652 of 1912.

Serial No.

and that Rs 4/ should be paid to Rama Bhatta.

Pattamma died on 23.12.09. Her daughter Subbamma petitioned the Taluk Cutcherry stating that the post was hereditary in her father's family, that she is the only heir, and urging her claims to the post in question. Rama Bhatta also petitioned the Taluk Cutcherry praying that the full pay of the post viz Rs 6/ might be conferred to his son Sankara Shastry who was actually discharging the duties.

On his report no. 74/10 dt 24.2.10, while enumerating the facts of the case, the Amildar reported that as regards Rudrapanayana post in the temple, after the death of another Subbamma who was under similar circumstances getting Rs 4/ per mensem, the full pay viz Rs 6/ attached to that post was ordered to be paid to the karavadan Krishnavadhani, and solicited orders in the matter of confirming the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—03,000—15 9-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 102 of 1913.

Serial No.

full pay to the karamavaran Ambale Rama Bhatta.

On his report no 90/94 dy 6.6.1910, the Amildar reported that the post was not a hereditary one.

After some correspondence, the Dy. Commr sanctioned the payment of the full pay to the karamavaran.

Subbamma's son Gundappa alias Ramanna (Purohita of Nanjunda Bhatta) has appealed to Govt. He says that a sanad granted by his late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur confers the post hereditarily on his family, that when the post was made out in the name of Rama Bhatta, her grand-mother was not ~~con~~ entitled, and prays that the karamavard may be transferred to his name, the duties thereof being attended to during his minority by a Gumastha. In another petition addressed to the Dy. Commr, he says that the sanad was lost when they left the house at the time of his grand-father's death

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—03,000—15 9-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 107 of 191 3

Serial No.

[ It also appears that the arrears of pay till Puttamma's death were paid to her daughter Subbammal ]

Submtd. for orders

BM 115) 19.10 1914

Dasappa the father of the minor appellant says that the samad conferring the appointment as his fatherinlaw's family hereditaments has been lost when his fatherinlaw died. In the absence of such a valuable record in his favour and in view of the fact that the appellant would have made capital out of the samad in case he had one, the Dy Com'rs decision in the matter should probably be upheld & the appeal Petn rejected.

BL 19.10.14

How did the office come to Vanjunatha Bhatta. Is there any evidence. How does the accordar conclude that the office is not hereditary. What is the age of Gundappa and what is he doing? Reading English or learning anything besides?

L. 5.10.14.

NOTE—Contn. sheet. AV

GPB—03,000—15 9-14

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 152 of 1913

Serial No

No information is available on the queries raised by the U. Secretary in the previous page

Submitted with a Dft. enquiry for appl. or other orders

M.W.(H.S.) 21.10.1914

21/10/14

Dft

21/10/14

5 To. D.C. Mysore No 843 Dy 31-10-1914

Enquiries how did the office come to Nanjunda Bhatta and on what grounds the Amildar concludes that the office is not hereditary and what is the age of Ejmudaipare

6 From, the Dy. Commr, Mysore No. W

Letter no 332 Dy 17.2.1915

Submits further report called for in Sl. 5.

As regards query no 1, the Dy Commr reports that Nanjunda Bhatta got the office in octr 1863 from his father Krishna Bhatta.

As for query no. 2, it is reported that as Rama Bhatta who is not related to the karanadavaru Nanjunda Bhatta was confirmed in the post

NOTE—Contd sheet

GPR—1000-26-6-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 102 of 1913

Serial No.

during the vacation of the Jasdirk Patti, the office was not considered hereditary. The Peshkar reports that these appointments are at present treated as hereditary ones.

As for query No. 3, it is reported that Gundappa's age is 6 years and that the boy is reading in the Infant A class in Sarada Vilasa Pathasala, Mysore.

Submitted. The office has probably to be considered as hereditary.

(W.L.W.)

9.3.15. What sort of school Sarada Vilas Pathasala is is not clear. Whether the boy is learning English or learning recitation of Rigveda is not reported. If he is simply reading English and is not taught lessons in the recitation of the Rigveda which is necessary for holding the post in question, his hereditary hak for the post has to be set aside in the interests of the efficient discharge of the service.

17.3.15. The Jasdirk Patti has not been put up. How do you say that Jasdirk Patti was confirmed in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—26-6-11

(G. R. S. 12)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 152 of 1913

Serial No.

place. Ramanna is ready to give security to begin adhyayana

Sd

The Jastik Patti of the Temple is put up. From the entries in the Remarks Column against no. 39, it will be seen that Rama Bhatta was confirmed in the place.

Submitted.

ACJ(HS)
15.5.1915.

15/5

Subd to 1st member

The appellant has not proved that the post is hereditary. Even if it should be so considered, Rama Bhatta has been in adverse possession since 1898 at least; though it might be urged on behalf of the minor that he was born before Rama Bhatta's title had become perfected by efflux of time. Ramanna is a boy of only six years and it remains yet to be seen whether he will ever become fit for the post. The petitioner may urge that it is not expedient to disturb existing arrangements.

Sd
18.5.1915

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPS—5000 26.6.1

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 262 of 1913.

Serial No.

The fact that 2 Rs a month was being granted the widow leaves that the hereditary character to some extent relaxed. I am inclined to continue the arrangement in favour of the minor boy during the life time of the present incumbent. After his death, the boy is fit, he may be appointed to the post.

Please let me know if there is any thing against this course.

8/7/15

2.7

The course indicated above may be adopted but my anxiety in this and other instances is that the endowments often pretended to diminish and naturally the posts does not continue long

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2 11 14

G. R. S. 1 ]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. [illegible] of 191[illegible].

Serial No.

quite so alternative as of old. There must be some limit to the recognition of hereditary rights of property in offices or otherwise there will be no incentive for the younger successors to acquire the necessary qualifications and the grantees will not have sufficient incentive to discharge their duties properly with their reduced endowments.

The case of Archakas is a question apart as these form a special class without sufficient expansive powers or recruitment from outside. It may be necessary in their case to offer inducements to the families to bring up other members to the profession.

This is however a question of policy and has no special reference to the facts of the present case. The small allowance to the grandson will be a help towards his education.

[illegible]
10 7 15

Pass orders accordingly

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]/7/15

[illegible]
21/7/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 282 of 1913.

Serial No.

Submtd with a draft order
AV(94)
26.7.1915

27.7.15

Put up clean draft.
lea
27.7.15

Clean draft submitted
AV(94)
28.7.1915

28/7/15

Draft approved by First member
7.8.15

MT
9/8/15

lea
9/8/15.

7 No: 408 dt 12/8/15 - to dept inquiry decides that the present incumbent may continue in the post of Asst Surgeon till he retires on attaining the age of 55 yrs, he may be allowed to retain the present incumbent - i.e. upto date.

3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4 15

DIARY No. 770 12




No 4324

Name of Petitioner — Gundappa alias Shamanna.

Village— Siramapett,

Taluk — Mysore.

District — Do —

Date of Application — 8-6-12

Date of Receipt— 11-6-12

Date of Disposal—

No of Enclosure — One

To 1st Councillor with Compliments.

15/9/12

For V

ABSTRACT

Prays for the conferment of the Rigveda Mantrapushpa service in the Chamarajeswara temple at Chamarajnagar. The Dy. Comm's Endorsement is to the effect that the revision of Establishment once ordered cannot be altered.

L.S.

11/6/12

13

1912.

No. 4549

*Name of Petitioner—*

Dasappa.

*Village—* Srirampet.

*Taluk—* Mysore.

*District—* — Do —

*Date of Arzi—* 25-7-12

*Date of Receipt—* 27-7-12

*Date of Disposal—*

*No. of Enclosure—* —

ABSTRACT.

Reminder in re Rigveda Mantra Pushpa Service in the Chamarajeswar temple at Chamarajnagar [See № 4324]

To Office for references + a draft order

19/9/12

DCS. 27/7/12

G.R.S. 29A] 14

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The D. C., Mysore District.

Dated 18-12-13

Despatched

Received

General No. 1631
Mun No. 262-13-

Enclosure No. 2

Has the honor to forward herewith for favor of report with records, petitions registered nos. 740 and 553 from Gundappa alias Shamanna through his father Basappa of Mysore advancing his claim to Rigveda Mantrapushpa [illegible] of

MGCPB—20,000—13-2-05

Sri Chamarajeswara
Swamy temple at Chamarajnagar.

By order

12/12

for Secretary.

11/12/13

DIARY No. 1949

1913-1914

SECTION Misc

FILE No. 262-13

SERIAL No. 3

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Anantapur District

To

The Secretary to Govt,
Revenue Branch

Dated 14-4-14

Despatched 15-4-14

Received

General No. 319

No.

Enclosure No.

---

Submits report on the petitions of Gundappa alias Shamanna.

16

Deputy Commissioner's
office, Mysore District
Dated Mysore 14th April 1914

No. 359
file

From.

A. Subramanya Iyer Esqr; B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

H. R. Srinivasaiyar Esqr; M.A;
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department
Amrai Branch.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No: 1631/Mins 262-13-2 dated 18th December
1913 forwarding for report petitions
Registered Nos: 770 and 553 from Gundappa
alias Shamanna through his
father Basappa of Mysore advancing
claim to Rigveda Mantrapushpa
lost

post of Sri Chamarajeswara Swamis
temple at Chamarajnagar, I have
the honor to state that the
Amildar reports that the
petitioner's grandfather Nanjunda
Bhatta who was performing the
duties in question died on
8-4-1896 leaving a widow
puttamma who prayed that
the Inam award be made in
her name. In the revised
Tasdik patti sanctioned by
Government in 1898 a remark
has been made to the effect
that Rama bhatta has been
appointed permanently that
out

18 out of the pay a sum of Rs 2/- shall be paid monthly to the deceased Registerdar Nanjunda Bhatta's widow so long as she lived and that Rs 4/- shall be paid to Ramakhatta the officiator. The said Nanjunda Bhatta's widow puttamma died on 23-12-09; and on a reference made by the Amildar and received from the Sub division office of Nanjangud orders were issued to the effect that the maintenance hitherto paying to puttamma should also be disbursed Ramakhatta.

The

The petitioner prays that this order should be set aside and asserts that he has a claim for this office

The entire correspondence received with Navigated Sub divisional officer's yati no 166/641 dated 30-1-1914 is herewith submitted

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Ro. Asst. Commr.
& Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
about from W.P.

DIARY No. 38

19/4/16

SECTION Mysore

FILE No. 262-13

SERIAL No. 4

19

From A. Subramanya, Esq., B.A.

*Deputy Commissioner,*
*Mysore District.*

To

D. Narasinga Rao Esq., B.A.,

*Offg. Secy. to Govt. of Mysore,*
*Gen & Rev. Depts.*

Dated 6/7/14.

Despatched

Received

General No. 3
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Pet

Submit that a detailed report with record has been submitted to Govt in re Rigveda Manthrapushpakoshi Sri Chamarajeswara Koodlu Temple at Chamarajnagar.

90

No. 3
Enc. No.

OFFICE OF THE. Deputy Commr.
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 6th July 1914.

FROM

A. Subrahmanya Iyer ESQ., BA
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT.

To

D. M. Narasimha Rao ESQ., BA, BL
Offg: SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS
HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzrai Branch

SIR,

With reference to your C. M. No 3527 Muz 262-13 dated 23rd June 1914 forwarding for report petition from Gundabba alias Shamanna through his father Dasappa appealing against the order of this office in re Rigveda Mantra-pushpa post of Sri Chamarajeswaraswamy temple at Chamarajnagar, I have the honor to state that a detailed report together with the connected record has already been submitted with this office letter No 354 dated 14/15th April 1914.

The petition received with the above memo is herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

V 21

G. R. S. 261 5/10

Re Rigveda Mantrapushpa post of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at C-nagar) File No. 269 Serial No. 1413/5

Diary No. of letter replied to 19490/38

No. 843 Temple

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Dy Commr,
Mysore District,

Date of issue 31st October 1914

Signed by Narasimmaiengar

Adverting to correspondence ending with his letter no. 3 Dy 6th July 1914 reporting on the petition of Gundappa alias Shamanna appealing against the Dy. Commr's order regarding the Rigveda Mantrapushpa post of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami Temple at Chamarajnagar, has the honor to request

over.

SUBJECT.

VV

to be furnished with information on the following points :—

(1) How did the office come to Nanjunda Bhatta, grandfather of the apll ?

(2) ~~How~~ mukt-tomuktar does the Muldar conclude in his report no. 90 of 6th April 1910 that the office is not hereditary ?

(3) What is the age of Sundappa and what is he doing - reading English or learning recitation of - Rig Veda.

-- 2) The Vern. records are -- with returned for reference and re-turn

By order,

for Hy. Secretary.

RL 22-10-11

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 8 11

GPB—100,000—29 7-14

191

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Genl. & Rev Depts. Murrai Brand

Dated

Despatched 17 February 1915.

Received

General No. 332

No.

Enclosure No. one file

---

Submit further information in connection with Gundabhe alias Shamanna re a Rigveda manuscript in possession of Sri Channaveerachari temple at Channapatna

23

Office of the Deputy Commissioner.

No: 332
En: on file

Mysore District.

Dated Mysore 17 February 1915.

From.




A. Subramanya Iyer, Esqr. B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

D. M. Narasinga Rao. Esqr. B.A., B.L.
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
General and Revenue Depte.
Murzai Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket no. 843 Murz. 62-13-5 dated 31st October 1914 calling for certain information on the petition of Gundappa alias Shamanna regarding the Rigveda Mantrapushpa post of Sri Chamarajeshwara Swami temple at Chamarajanagar, I have the honor to state as follows:-

(1) The baravard of the post was in the name of one Krishnabhatta in October 1863 & it was transferred to his son Ranjunda bhatta (Petr's grand father) as the baravardar was too old and decrepit to look after his duties as per orders of Division Murzi office no. 122 dated 30-10-1863.

(2) The Amildar in his report no. 90 dated 6th April 1910, seems to have arrived at the conclusion that the office was not hereditary as in the revised Kaseik sanctioned by Government in 1898, Ramabhatta was confirmed in place of Ranjundabhatta — (deceased) and Puttamma widow of the deceased, ordered to be paid Rs. 2/- per mensem till her lifetime though Ranjundabhatta and Rama-Bhatta are not related to each other. The Peishkar reports that in filling up vacancies in these offices, they are at present treated as hereditary. 3. Gundappa's age is 6 years and the boy is read-ing in the Infant 4 class in Saradavilasa Pathasala, Mysore, as certified to by the Head Master. The entire correspondence received with the Ranjangud Sub Division officer's yadi no. 135/478 dated 8/17-12-1916 accompanies —

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

75 Passes orders on the appeal petn of Gundappa alias Shamanna claiming Rigveda Mantrapushpa post of Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajnagar

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 262-13

Serial No. 7

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 1330

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

READ—

, *the* 191 .

Petition Regd. No. 770 Dy 8th June 1912, from Gundappa alias Shamanna minor by guardian Dasappa appealing

Date of issue 12-8-1915

Signed by [illegible]

ORDER THEREON.—No.

against the Dy Commr's order directing that one Rama Bhatta be permanently appointed to the post of Rigveda Mantrapushpa in

*over.*

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

~~the temple of~~ Sri Chamarajeswara Swami temple at Chamarajnagar and advancing his claim to the appointment as the daughter's son of the deceased baravardar Nanjunda Bhatta.

Correspondence ending with letter No 332 dated 17th February 1915, from the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt, reporting that the appellant's grand father Nanjunda Bhatta who was holding the post in question died on 8th April 1896, leaving a widow by name Puttamma; that during the revision of the Jasdik Patti of the temple Rama Bhatta who was at the time discharging the duties was confirmed in the post with the direction that out of his pay a sum of Rs2/- should

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

be paid to Naijund a Bhatta's widow Puttamma during her life time; and that Puttamma having died on 23rd December 1909 orders were issued to disburse the full pay to the said Rama Bhatta

Order thereon No

From the fact that 2Rs a month was being given to the deceased barawardar's widow Puttamma it is observed that the hereditary nature of the appointment in question was to some extent recognized. The Government are therefore pleased to direct that this arrangement should also continue in favor-

No 488 Enl. file. Dy
Mis 262-13-7
12-8-1915

GPB—12,000—15-2-13

of the minor boy Gundappa alias Shamanna during the life time of the present incumbent Rama Bhatta. If at the latter's death the boy is fit, he may be appointed to the post in question.

28/7/15
For Secretary 7/8/15
Rev Dept
28/7/15

To,

The Dy. Commr
Mysore Dt reptg V.P.

CMS